



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,202	10/20/2003 .	Kohji Murayama	JP920010391US1	4460
32074 7.	590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
	ONAL BUSINESS MAG	CANNING, ANTHONY J		
DEPT. 18G		•		
BLDG. 300-48	BLDG. 300-482			PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52			2879	
HOPEWELL J	UNCTION, NY 12533		-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/689,202	MURAYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony J. Canning	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed If HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	uly 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/689,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Amendment

1. The amendment to the instant application was received and entered on 12 July 2005. The examiner acknowledges amendments to claims 1, 6, and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aziz et al. (U.S. 2002/0135296 A1).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Aziz et al. disclose an organic electroluminescent device (paragraph 0010, lines 1-3), including: a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 31; paragraph 0066, lines 7-8); electrodes including a first electrode (see Fig. 3, items 31 and 38; paragraph 0066, lines 8-9) formed on the substrate (see Fig. 3, items 31 and 38), and a second electrode (see Fig. 3, items 32 and 38; paragraph 0066, line 20) disposed to be spaced from the first electrode (see Fig. 3, all items between 32 and 38); a function layer formed between the electrodes and including a luminous layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 11-14); and a buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34;

Art Unit: 2879

paragraph 0066, lines 15-16) included in the second electrode (see Fig. 3, items 32 and 34) and disposed to be spaced from the function layer (see Fig. 3, items 33, 34 and 35), the buffer layer having a density lower than the density of the luminous layer and the second electrode (page 4, right hand column specifies the buffer layer can be a metal oxide, aluminum oxide is a buffer material commonly used in organic electroluminescent devices, and the luminous layer is hydroxyquinoline aluminum, which is the luminous layer proposed in the specification of the instant application; the second electrode is indium tin oxide [paragraph 0011] which is also given as the electrode material in the specification of the instant application). Therefore, because the materials of the buffer layer, luminous layer and second electrode of the instant application are the same as those in Aziz et al. the examiner interprets this to mean that the buffer layer's density is lower than that of the luminous layer and the second electrode.

Page 3

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer is formed in a distance of 20 nm or less from an upper end surface of the function layer (paragraph 0042, lines 65-67). The region (see Fig. 3, item 33, not including item 34) between the buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34) and the function layer (see Fig. 3, item 35) can be any thickness between 5 and 500 nm, the lower end of that range falls within the limitation of 20 nm or less.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer contains an oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123).

Art Unit: 2879

Aziz et al. teach that the buffer layer can be made from a metal oxide, which encompasses all metal oxides, including aluminum oxide.

Page 4

- 8. Regarding claim 5, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, further including: a layer (see Fig. 4, item 47; paragraph 0067, line 8) disposed adjacently to the function layer (see Fig. 4, item 45; paragraph 0067, lines 17-21) and containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element (paragraph 0042, lines 132-134). The examiner interprets adjacently to mean near but not necessarily touching.
- 9. Regarding claim 6, Aziz et al. disclose a method for manufacturing an organic electroluminescent device (paragraph 0010, lines 8-10; paragraph 0066, lines 1-3) the method including the steps of: forming a first electrode on a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 31; paragraph 0066, lines 7-8); forming, on the first electrode, a function layer including a luminous layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 11-14); forming a second electrode above the luminous layer (see Fig. 3, items 32 and 38; paragraph 0066, line 20); and forming a buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34; paragraph 0066, lines 15-16) in a distance of a predetermined value (paragraph 0042, lines 65-67), the buffer layer having a density lower than the density of the luminous layer and the second electrode (page 4, right hand column specifies the buffer layer can be a metal oxide, aluminum oxide is a buffer material commonly used in organic electroluminescent devices, and the luminous layer is hydroxyquinoline aluminum, which is the luminous layer proposed in the specification of the instant application; the second electrode is indium tin oxide [paragraph 0011] which is also given as the electrode material in the specification of the instant application).

 Therefore, because the materials of the buffer layer, luminous layer and second electrode of the

Art Unit: 2879

instant application are the same as those in Aziz et al. the examiner interprets this to mean that the buffer layer's density is lower than that of the luminous layer and the second electrode.

- 10. Regarding claim 8, Aziz et al. disclose the method for manufacturing an organic electroluminescent device according to claim 6, wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123). Aziz et al. teach that the buffer layer can be made from a metal oxide, which encompasses all metal oxides, including aluminum oxide.
- 11. Regarding claim 9, Aziz et al. disclose the method for manufacturing an organic electroluminescent device according to claim 6, further including the step of: depositing a layer (see Fig. 4, item 47; paragraph 0067, line 8) containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element adjacent to the function layer (paragraph 0042, lines 132-134). The examiner interprets adjacently to mean near but not necessarily touching.
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Aziz et al. disclose an organic electroluminescent display apparatus including a plurality of organic electroluminescent devices (paragraph 0010, lines 8-10; paragraph 0066, lines 1-3) formed on a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 31; paragraph 0066, lines 7-8), wherein the organic electroluminescent device includes: electrodes including a first electrode adjacent to the substrate (see Fig. 3, items 31 and 38; paragraph 0066, lines 8-9) and a second electrode disposed to be spaced from the first electrode (see Fig. 3, items 32 and 38; paragraph 0066, line 20); a function layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 11-14) formed between the electrodes (see Fig. 3, items 32, 35, and 38) and including a luminous layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 13-14); and a buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34; paragraph 0066, lines 15-16) included in the second electrode and disposed to be spaced from the function layer (see Fig. 3, items 33, 34, and 35), the buffer layer having a density lower than the density of the

Art Unit: 2879

luminous layer and the second electrode (page 4, right hand column specifies the buffer layer can be a metal oxide, aluminum oxide is a buffer material commonly used in organic electroluminescent devices, and the luminous layer is hydroxyquinoline aluminum, which is the luminous layer proposed in the specification of the instant application; the second electrode is indium tin oxide [paragraph 0011] which is also given as the electrode material in the specification of the instant application). Therefore, because the materials of the buffer layer, luminous layer and second electrode of the instant application are the same as those in Aziz et al. the examiner interprets this to mean that the buffer layer's density is lower than that of the luminous layer and the second electrode.

Page 6

- Regarding claim 11, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer is formed in a distance of 20 nm or less from an upper end surface of the function layer (paragraph 0042, lines 65-67). The region (see Fig. 1, item 13, not including item 14) between the buffer layer (see Fig. 1, item 14) and the function layer (see Fig. 1, item 15) can be any thickness between 5 and 500 nm, the lower end of that range falls within the limitation of 20 nm or less.
- 14. Regarding claim 12, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer contains an oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123).
- 15. Regarding claim 13, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123). Aziz et al. teach that the buffer layer can be made from a metal oxide, which encompasses all metal oxides, including aluminum oxide.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,202 Page 7

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 14, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, further including: a layer (see Fig. 4, item 47; paragraph 0067, line 8) disposed between the luminous layer and the second electrode and containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element (paragraph 0042, lines 132-134). The examiner interprets adjacently to mean near but not necessarily touching. The layer (see Fig. 4, item 47) is an electron injecting layer and can be inverted to be between the second electrode and the luminescent material (paragraph 0027, 42-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aziz et al. (U.S. 2002/0135296 A1) in view of Hosokawa et al. (U.S. 6,157,127).
- 19. Regarding claim 7, Aziz et al. disclose the method for manufacturing an organic electroluminescent device according to claim 6. Aziz et al. fail to disclose wherein the buffer layer contains an oxide, and the step of forming a buffer layer includes any of a step of oxidizing the second electrode and a step of depositing the oxide thereon.

Hosokawa et al. disclose the method of forming a buffer layer for an organic electroluminescent device wherein a step of oxidizing the second electrode and a step of depositing the oxide thereon (column 12, lines 57-60). The oxidizing step allows the oxidized

Art Unit: 2879

portion of the electrode to be used as a buffer layer, thereby reducing cost and manufacturing steps.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the organic electroluminescent device of Aziz et al. to include the step of forming a buffer layer includes any of a step of oxidizing the second electrode and a step of depositing the oxide thereon for the added benefit of allowing the oxidized portion of the electrode to be used as a buffer layer, thereby reducing cost and manufacturing steps.

Response to Arguments

- 20. The examiner acknowledges the amendment to claims 1, 6, and 10.
- 21. The buffer layer of Aziz et al. can be a metal oxide (page 4, right hand column, line 54). Aluminum oxide is a common metal oxide used as a buffer material in organic electroluminescent devices, and is also disclosed as the buffer material in the instant application (page 13, paragraph 0060). Aziz et al. disclose the luminous material to be Alq3, also known as hydroxyquinoline aluminum, (page 4, right hand column, lines 50-51, the electron transport material is a part of the luminous layer). The instant application also discloses the luminous layer to be Alq3 (page 8, paragraph 0047). Aziz et al. disclose the second electrode to be indium tin oxide, a transparent conductive oxide (paragraph 0011). In the specification of the instant application the second electrode is disclosed as a transparent conductive film, of which ITO is commonly known and used in the art of organic electroluminescent devices (page 2, paragraph 0005). Because both Aziz et al., and the instant application specify the same materials the

examiner interprets the buffer layer of Aziz et al. to have a lower density than both the luminous layer and the second electrode.

Final Rejection

22. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Canning whose telephone number is (571)-272-2486. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D. Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2879

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Canning (M

7 September 2005

ASHOK PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER